

Green Bay
Elijah Schenandoah
Washington March 22nd, 1852

Some of the Oneida Chiefs at Green Bay want to divide lands of the Tribe so that each individual hold in severalty.

States his objection

Received March 24th 1852

Honorable Luke Lea
Commissioner of Indians Affairs

Dear Sir,

The undersigned Elijah Schenandoah, oue of the Chief of the Oneida Tribe of Indians residing at Green Bay, in the state of Wisconsin, feel a deep interest in the welfare and prosperity of this Nation. The Oneidas before they left the state of New York, held the title to their lands in E. Maron in this manner they purchased their lands at Green Bay. It is understood that a portion of the Chiefs of the said Tribe are desirous of dividing the Nation, lands at green Bay so that each person shall hold his lands in severalty. This is contrary to the almost uniform pratice of Indian Tribes. The adoption of this plan it is obvious would open the door to the settlement of certain white people among us who would in no ----- contribute to the amendment of our morals on the ----- of our happiness or a Nation.

Allow me to inquire whether the said Chiefs have any power to make such petition of our lands Can any such thing be done with out the unanimous consent of the Chiefs the Tribe and also the consent of the Government of the United States your answer to the above will greatly obliged you obedient servant.

22 March 1852

Elijah Schenandoah

Green Bay
Elijah Schenandoah
Washington March 22nd, 1852

Some of the Oneida Chiefs at Green Bay want to divide lands of the Tribe so that each individual hold in severalty.

State ^{his} Wisconsin objection

Received March 24th 1852

Honorable Luke Lea
Commissioner of Indians Affairs

Dear Sir,

The undersigned Elijah Schenandoah, ^pox of the Chief of the Oneida Tribe of Indians residing at Green Bay, in the state of Wisconsin, feel a deep interest in the welfare and prosperity of this Nation. The Oneidas before they left the state of New York, held the title to their lands in ^{common} in this manner they purchased their lands at Green Bay. It is understood that a portion of the Chiefs of the said Tribe are desirous of dividing the Nation, lands at green Bay so that each person shall hold ^{his} lands in severalty. This is contrary to the almost ----- frontier of Indian Tribes. The adoption of this plan it is obvious would open the door to the settlement of certain white people among us who would in no ----- contribute to the amendment of our morals on the ----- of our happiness or a Nation.

uniform Practice

Allow me to ^{inquire} ----- whether the said Chiefs have any ^{power} ----- to make such ^{Petition} ----- of our lands Can any such thing be done with out the unanimous consent of the Chiefs the Tribe and also the consent of the Government of the United States your answer to the above will greatly obliged you obedient servant.

22 March 1852

Elijah Schenandoah

10.

Green Bay 860

Elijah Schumacher
Washington 22 Mar '52

Some of Oneida Chiefs
at Green Bay want to divide
lands of the ^{tribe} so that each
individual hold in severalty.
State has objection to

MAR 22 1855

C Drive
(Cory's computer)

~~Folder~~

c:\New Files (wot able
to fit on disk) \MAR
221855.WPD

24 March '52

! Hills

24. Lands

From. Luke Lee

Com. of Indian Affairs.

Dear Sir

The sum -

dennipaid Elijah Schenaback, one of
the chiefs of the Quicida tribe of
Indians residing at Green Bay in
the State of Wisconsin, feels a deep
resentment in the behalf and pros-
perity of his nation. The Quicidas
believe they lost the State of New
York, and the title to their lands
in consequence of this sum -

Indians residing at Green Bay, in
the State of Wisconsin, feel a deep
resentment in the welfare and pros-
perity of his nation. The Querebois
before they left the State of New
York, held the title to their lands
in common, in this manner
they purchased their lands at
Green Bay. It is understood
that the Peritons or the Chiefs
or said Indians are desirous
of dividing the nation, lands
at Green Bay so that each per-
son shall hold his lands in
severalty. This is contrary to

the almost uniform beauty of
 in hair tribes. The adoption of this
 plan it is obvious would open
 the door to the attainment of
 certain white people among us
 who would in no manner
 contribute to the ameliorment
 of our morals on the increase
 of our happiness or a nation.

allows me to inquire
 whether the said chiefs know
 any reason to make such
 a distinction or even benefit? Can
 any such thing be done with

of our morals on the increase
of our happiness or a nation,

allows me to inquire
whether the said child's honor
may be seen to make such
partisan or vain boasts? Can
any such thing be done with-
out the unanimous consent
of the Chiefs & the Tribe Part 2
also the consent of the Government Part 2
you are never to be
above will greatly oblige you
adherent & servant

Washington

22. March 1852

Elijah Seaman Esq