

9 AM.

July 20, 1988 meeting with article Locklear  
Tom La Clair. Donald Antonio, Jonathan Metzger  
Rick Hill - Gordon McHester - Ray George

Tom L. - he feels the danger is that the Stock Bridge  
case could go to the Supreme Court and  
this would pull the Onida case in.

Rick - Time frame

Tom - They are in the Discovery process

Rick - Time

Tom - Court Time would be in the fall

Locklear - when did the Stock Bridge drop 301-15  
article - at the same time the Onida's dropped 301-  
article - Thinker The New York Onidas took the interview  
to try and get some of the attorneys out of the Court  
action - The Supreme Court could see they be over ruled  
article - yes they can change their mind

Antonio - Ask about the Treaties with New York 1811

article - 1788 Treaties was not legal

if you challenge the Treaty of Canadagawa in the  
Stock Bridge lands you challenge the Onida  
lands also. 1794 Article 42

Rick - what do you advise article 1

article - The Onida's may have to take a position  
against them.

1. Precedent of the Court - you will have to read

2. by the decision

2. the Plan to when been - and state our position

Boyle - could we meet with Stock Bridge in Wis -  
Tom - yes  
article - we just said the Stock Bridge  
should be involved

William Taylor 178-1800

Boyle  
785 #166



July 21, 1988 - 3:30 in the NARF office  
 Meeting with Ray Hukette - Terry Chris John  
 Kim Jacobs - Rick Hill A. Antone - Loretta  
 Mitoxen Gordon McKeen

### Discussion on the Stock Bridge claim

- Terry - The Stock Bridge never owned the land in the Traditional way, and when they left the land returned to the Onides
- Loretta - Did you discuss the Treaty of Canada 1800 Article II
- Rick - if we can't agree this may cause us to go to court - Article II
- Ray - we will have our lawyers look at it but up to this point they are just looking the land in the Traditional way of ownership.
- Rick - The judge does not look at this in the Traditional way
- Loretta - The land claim
- Ray - our lawyers will look at matter
- Antone - how can we get a self the Stock Bridge be counted in.
- George - The <sup>of New York</sup> Terry - feels the state will have to agree with the Traditional way.

July 21, 1988 3:30 PM

George - This agreement may Back fire

Terry - The traditional way should be ~~Be~~ in court

Ray<sup>G</sup> - There is a continued stalling block  
and the Orinda's keep coming up short  
People are changing wait a few more years.

Terry - That why we are trying to keep the traditional  
gov't

Arshad Anton - Brought out the maps of New York  
land claims.

Ray H. - As I said Before we will have the lawyers look  
back at it

Rick - have the lawyers meet - and when  
will you (My) have a position on the land (Sept?)

Ray H. - he feels they may have something in Oct  
but not a full proposal.

Rick - we have to try and stay with the  
proposed time frame and

Ray H. - They did a mail-out on the claims

Rick - would like another meeting

Ray H. - any meeting.

Wuth - a working draft needs to be developed  
for an August meeting

Anton - when are we going negotiations 250,000 or 5 1/2 m

Ray H. - The 5 1/2 m will be dismissed and we will  
(chipawa claim was a good settlement  
check it out.)

July 21, 1988 3:30 PM.

Antone - The Wis Oneidas want the 250,000 acres  
Boundary Reaffirmed.

Ray H. he agrees

Loretta - write of way and easements. What is your  
positions - Roads. Rail Roads and any other easements

Ray H. I think there could be another approach  
develop a people proposal. There are many  
ways. Look at land outside the Chain areas.

A. Antone - what is our plan tomorrow if asked about  
the Stockbridge claim

Rick - we don't have a position at this time.

Loretta - more discussion is needed to get

Ray H. we need more time - we have to put into the settlement

Loretta - The Oneidas of N.Y. are in the Oberlin Willson  
case how is this in relation to the NY claim.

Ray H. - land Bought 80 acres and they pay no taxes  
The Towns come to the Buigs.

Show the difference the claim money can make  
to the Town people.

Ray H. talked about the Return of Oneida's to  
Return to New York.  
and how will there be a distribution  
of the claim.

Ray G. - plans have to be developed

Ray H. what do the Oneida people want.

Ray G. money mostly. and maybe 10 families.

# Land Claims Negotiations Meeting

10 AM

July 23, 1988

Pete Taylor opening chair man

BOB BALSAN - gave a story about NY Forest lands

BOB BASSETT - Land supports - Dept of Conservation  
history of the land classes

Brood nature lands managed by his dept  
environmental conservation - Manage 3.5 million acres

DEC lands, land owned - a 2.4 million acres

(DEC)

wild - 14 million additional

\* Parks - Blue Line Forest preserve

700,000 acres outside the Blue line

40% Public

Houghton amendment

60% private

\* 100,000 - wild life management areas

Large blocks of land - wet lands

\* water shed -

multi-pur use.

The lower lands in the state have less constitution  
protection on them.

472 State forest

chen ago #31 map.

\* get some maps from BOB BASSETT

New York State Atlas (only 2 copies)

Wm Taylor - can more maps be gotten

BOB Bassett - he may be able to get other maps

but he can't get another atlas.

July 22, 1958

Page 2

## Land Claims Meeting

Tom Bond - question DPR funds from taxes on fishing + hunting - to Buy more wild life lands.

Rick H - oil leases

Bob Bennett - explain how they work with the oil lease Dept. he could check on the lease rights -

### Division of Minerals

Pete Taylor - have the state done surveys on mineral deposits also U. -

Rick H - known waste

Bob Bass - he does a inspection on all property they buy  
The land he spoke of today doesn't have any known waste

Rick H - in there & may show H.W.

Bob Bass - No - but the Federal Dept's may have one, Super Fund list - NY + Fed list differs.

Baton - gave out a list last year

B. Bass - N.Y. State pays taxes on lands

Dan Beaman - Roads

\* STATE land survey hard work  
(Dec state lands)

### Report of the Working Group

Dan Beaman

- 1) No need to come up with a definition of the Claim area AT This Time.
- 2) Role of wis state govt. (No Role at all - little if any)
- 3) Role of the Canadian govt on the Claim: The Canadian  
Hinder sm + land program Canada.

Page 2  
2025  
386

Page 3

June 3 in D.C.

list of County owned land (how much R/W)

Bob would like the Order to let him what  
land they would

Allen Van Gestel -

Allen would like the Order to give a Bough out line  
of what the claim area - define the area

maybe we could use of the county lines.

Allen feels the cloud has to include all land claims  
by the Indians

Define the area and clear up the cloud.

Wm Taylor - why

Van G - he needs meets & bounds but it would be  
very hard use the counties boundaries

Bob Bator - They will work on this next meeting

Den Brunner - The working group will meet  
in August.

Scheduling - Sept 23 - Fri.

Tom Bond BIA - someone else from BIA will be  
sitting in for the next year

Tom Bond will set up the next location

Becky Taylor will continue as chair

12:15 adjourn

Tape  
two  
side one



(2)

July 20 1988

She explained that the ~~other~~ other Oncoide could join the suit AS

1. - Friend of the Court - and any decision that's handed down you would not be bound to.
- or 2 - Motion to intervene and go in and state our position on why we think the New York Oncoide's position is wrong.
- or 3 - stay out of the whole suit.

discussion followed but everyone agreed that more discussion was needed and we should set up a meeting with the Stock Bridge people in Wis when their attorney Tom LaClein was meeting with them.

The meeting this afternoon (3:30 PM) is with the New York Oncoide's to develop a better understanding of their position against the Bio-Stock Bridge and the effect it may have on the Oncoide claim.

①

July 20 1988 9 AM

Meeting with Arlinda Locklear - Tom LaClair  
(from NORT), Arnold Antone - Ray George (from Oneida Canada)  
Loretta McToxen - Rick Hill and Gordon McEster (from Oneida WI)

Discussion of the Stock Bridge claim.

Tom LaClair is the attorney for the Stock Bridge.

He gave an update on the progress of the S.T. claim,  
and explained that the legal principals for their claim are based  
on the same Treaties of the Oneida Claims.

Arlinda explained that the challenge by the New  
York Oneidas leaves the Oneida claim open  
against the Stock Bridge leaves the  
Oneida Land Claim open for attack from <sup>or</sup> another chance at the  
opposition and they would love to get the Oneida Claim  
in hopes of getting some kind of change or reversal  
from the courts.

The question was asked if the courts could reverse  
them selves and Arlinda pointed out that yes they  
could and with the change on the supreme Court and  
the possibility of the opposition being something  
new into the case, she would not like to see this  
type of thing happen and we should do everything  
we can to prevent it. Arlinda was asked what  
steps can the other Oneidas take.

July 21, 1988 3:30 Meeting

Present - Ray Hal Beitter - Terry Chris John

Kim Jacobs from New York Oneida - Arnold Antone  
Ray George from Canada - Rick Hill Loretta Motson  
and Gordon McLester from Wis.

The meeting was held in the NAFS Conf Rm.

The discussion started out on the Stock Bridge claim and why the Oneida's from Wis & Canada felt it could be dangerous for the Oneida claim with the motion to inter vene by the Oneidas of New York against the Stock Bridge.

Terry Chris John & Ray Hal Beitter just re stated their earlier position that the Oneida's never agreed to give land to the Stock Bridge, that they were allowed to use the land for as long as they remained there. and when ever they left the land would return to the Oneida's.

We also pointed out that their position against the Stock Bridge claim could possibly be used against our Oneida claim. Their position was that their law firm had studied the claim and they see no problem with their motion to inter vene against the Stock Bridge claim. But they would take this information back to their attorneys for review because they do not want the Oneida claim to be jeopardized in any way

(2)

July 21, 1988 3:30 Meeting

The Oncidians are suppose to present their position <sup>report</sup> on the lands in New York at the Sept. meeting in Wash D.C.

At this time none of the 3 Oncida groups have their proposal ready, yet everyone felt it was very important to keep the time frame that had been agreed upon.

There was discussion on having an all Oncida meeting in Aug and everyone would have a working draft for the meeting. August 4 was mentioned but nothing was finalized.

Everyone seem to agree that the proposal would be directed at the 250,000 acre claim.

The group <sup>had lengthy</sup> discussion on the enrollment problems, the return of Oncida's to New York, the <sup>of</sup> William case. The negotiations of land on side the 5 1/2 million claim area. The possibility of hiring people with expertise in negotiating & putting proposals together for economic purchases or investments.

The question on per capita payment.

it was felt that more meetings were needed for continued discussion on these matters.

The meeting finished at 7:30 P.M.